

Saint Xavier University
2018 Fall School of Nursing & Health Sciences UG and GR Standard Course
Evaluations

Course: NURSG-509-01-2018F: NURSG-509-01-2018F Nursing Inquiry-NURSG-509-01-2018F : Victoria Brahe
Instructor: Victoria Brahe *
Response Rate: 16/17 (94.12 %)

1 - Expected Grade for the course:																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
A		(1)	14	87.50%												
B		(2)	2	12.50%												
C		(3)	0	0.00%												
D		(4)	0	0.00%												
F		(5)	0	0.00%												
Pass		(6)	0	0.00%												
NA		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		SXU		School		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	1.13	0.34	1.00	1937	1.53	0.85	1.00	1936	1.53	0.85	1.00	335	1.30	0.78	1.00	

2 - Current Standing:																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Freshman		(1)	0	0.00%												
Sophomore		(2)	0	0.00%												
Junior		(3)	0	0.00%												
Senior		(4)	0	0.00%												
Graduate		(5)	15	93.75%												
Other		(6)	1	6.25%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		SXU		School		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	5.06	0.25	5.00	1937	3.62	1.18	4.00	1936	3.62	1.18	4.00	335	4.96	0.38	5.00	

3 - I am taking this course as a(n):																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Major requirement		(1)	7	43.75%												
Minor requirement		(2)	0	0.00%												
Core requirement		(3)	9	56.25%												
Elective Other		(4)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		SXU		School		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	2.13	1.02	3.00	1937	1.34	0.84	1.00	1936	1.34	0.84	1.00	335	1.65	0.94	1.00	

4 - Provided clear statement of course objectives																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Strongly Agree		(4)	14	87.50%												
Agree		(3)	2	12.50%												
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
					0	25	50	100	Question		SXU		School		Department	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.88	0.34	4.00	1937	3.57	0.69	4.00	1936	3.57	0.69	4.00	335	3.70	0.55	4.00	

Saint Xavier University
2018 Fall School of Nursing & Health Sciences UG and GR Standard Course
Evaluations

Course: NURSG-509-01-2018F: NURSG-509-01-2018F Nursing Inquiry-NURSG-509-01-2018F : Victoria Brahe
Instructor: Victoria Brahe *
Response Rate: 16/17 (94.12 %)

5 - Appeared knowledgeable about the course subject matter																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.70		3.70		3.70	
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%												
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
0 25 50 100																
									Question	SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.70	0.56	4.00	1936	3.70	0.56	4.00	335	3.70	0.54	4.00	

6 - Was well prepared for class																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	14	87.50%					3.87		3.59		3.59		3.64	
Agree		(3)	0	0.00%												
Disagree		(2)	1	6.25%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	1	6.25%												
0 25 50 100																
									Question	SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.87	0.52	4.00	1937	3.59	0.68	4.00	1936	3.59	0.68	4.00	335	3.64	0.65	4.00	

7 - Allotted time appropriately to present material																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	13	81.25%					3.86		3.53		3.53		3.62	
Agree		(3)	0	0.00%												
Disagree		(2)	1	6.25%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	2	12.50%												
0 25 50 100																
									Question	SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.86	0.53	4.00	1937	3.53	0.74	4.00	1936	3.53	0.74	4.00	335	3.62	0.69	4.00	

8 - Was open to student questions and encouraged participation																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	16	100.00%					4.00		3.63		3.64		3.69	
Agree		(3)	0	0.00%												
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
0 25 50 100																
									Question	SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	1937	3.63	0.63	4.00	1936	3.64	0.63	4.00	335	3.69	0.58	4.00	

Saint Xavier University
2018 Fall School of Nursing & Health Sciences UG and GR Standard Course
Evaluations

Course: NURSG-509-01-2018F: NURSG-509-01-2018F Nursing Inquiry-NURSG-509-01-2018F : Victoria Brahe
Instructor: Victoria Brahe *
Response Rate: 16/17 (94.12 %)

9 - Presented material in an interesting and challenging manner																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	14	87.50%					3.93		3.42		3.42		3.57	
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%												
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	1	6.25%												
0 25 50 100																
								Question		SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.93	0.26	4.00	1937	3.42	0.82	4.00	1936	3.42	0.82	4.00	335	3.57	0.69	4.00	

10 - Contributed to my understanding of course material																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.50		3.50		3.60		
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%													
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.50	0.76	4.00	1936	3.50	0.76	4.00	335	3.60	0.69	4.00		

11 - Was available for assistance outside of class																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.61		3.61		3.67		
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%													
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.61	0.62	4.00	1936	3.61	0.62	4.00	335	3.67	0.53	4.00		

12 - Returned assignments and exams within a reasonable time frame																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	13	81.25%					3.81		3.60		3.60		3.61		
Agree		(3)	3	18.75%													
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.81	0.40	4.00	1937	3.60	0.64	4.00	1936	3.60	0.64	4.00	335	3.61	0.64	4.00		

Saint Xavier University
2018 Fall School of Nursing & Health Sciences UG and GR Standard Course
Evaluations

Course: NURSG-509-01-2018F: NURSG-509-01-2018F Nursing Inquiry-NURSG-509-01-2018F : Victoria Brahe
Instructor: Victoria Brahe *
Response Rate: 16/17 (94.12 %)

13 - Provided clear statement of the basis of grading																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.56		3.56		3.63	
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%												
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
0 25 50 100																
								Question		SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.56	0.71	4.00	1936	3.56	0.71	4.00	335	3.63	0.69	4.00	

14 - Provided feedback which stimulated learning																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.52		3.52		3.58		
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%													
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.52	0.72	4.00	1936	3.52	0.72	4.00	335	3.58	0.72	4.00		

15 - I would say this is an effective instructor																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.54		3.54		3.57		
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%													
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.54	0.73	4.00	1936	3.54	0.73	4.00	335	3.57	0.76	4.00		

16 - Increased my knowledge and expertise in the subject matter																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.88		3.50		3.51		3.60		
Agree		(3)	0	0.00%													
Disagree		(2)	1	6.25%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.88	0.50	4.00	1937	3.50	0.72	4.00	1936	3.51	0.72	4.00	335	3.60	0.59	4.00		

Saint Xavier University
2018 Fall School of Nursing & Health Sciences UG and GR Standard Course
Evaluations

Course: NURSG-509-01-2018F: NURSG-509-01-2018F Nursing Inquiry-NURSG-509-01-2018F : Victoria Brahe
Instructor: Victoria Brahe *
Response Rate: 16/17 (94.12 %)

17 - Challenged me to work to my intellectual capacity																
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.54		3.54		3.65	
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%												
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%												
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%												
0 25 50 100																
								Question		SXU		School		Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.54	0.70	4.00	1936	3.54	0.70	4.00	335	3.65	0.59	4.00	

18 - Instructional materials were helpful in meeting course objectives																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.88		3.46		3.46		3.53		
Agree		(3)	0	0.00%													
Disagree		(2)	1	6.25%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.88	0.50	4.00	1937	3.46	0.76	4.00	1936	3.46	0.76	4.00	335	3.53	0.69	4.00		

19 - Met objectives as described in syllabus																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	15	93.75%					3.94		3.56		3.56		3.60		
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%													
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.94	0.25	4.00	1937	3.56	0.65	4.00	1936	3.56	0.65	4.00	335	3.60	0.62	4.00		

20 - I would say this is an effective course																	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means								
Strongly Agree		(4)	14	87.50%					3.81		3.48		3.48		3.56		
Agree		(3)	1	6.25%													
Disagree		(2)	1	6.25%													
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%													
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100																	
								Question		SXU		School		Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	SXU	Mean	STD	Median	School	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median		
16/17 (94.12%)	3.81	0.54	4.00	1937	3.48	0.76	4.00	1936	3.48	0.76	4.00	335	3.56	0.68	4.00		

Saint Xavier University

2018 Fall School of Nursing & Health Sciences UG and GR Standard Course Evaluations

Course: NURSG-509-01-2018F: NURSG-509-01-2018F Nursing Inquiry-NURSG-509-01-2018F : Victoria Brahe
Instructor: Victoria Brahe *
Response Rate: 16/17 (94.12 %)

21 - Strengths of the instructor	
Response Rate	8/17 (47.06%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Professor Brahe was exceptional. This was perhaps the most difficult course I have had thus far but her instruction and feedback also helped to make this the most enjoyable while producing meaningful learning. She takes the time to engage students to foster learning and encourages questions. • Always provided great feedback and was very pleasant to have as an instructor. She shows a true sense of compassion in her role. • Dr. Brahe was always available and provided detailed feedback. She challenged me in a constructive growing manner. She was fabulous! LOVE the learning hall!! • I appreciated the instructor understanding that life exists outside of the course and things may come up. • Very knowledgeable on the research subject - knows how to engage students and provides appropriate feedback to help the student succeed. Goes out of her way to ensure that students understand the materials and are using the content appropriately • Professor Brahe was a phenomenal choice for a leader for this class. She had every quality that I look for in a leader, especially for an online class. I would have loved to have taken an in person class of hers. She did an excellent job of engaging students towards high quality work. She was incredibly timely in her grading and always provided substantial rationale for the grades received that promoted future learnings. She was excellent at responding to questions and emails in a very timely manner, which was incredibly important to me due to the limited and valuable time to work on assignments between work and home life. • Professor Brahe lays it out very clearly from the beginning. She is thought provoking, insanely thorough, and wants you to know what is expected. With that in mind, it is incredible how available she is for assistance and also how so doesn't just give away the A for free. You have to work for it. She will help you as many times as needed, and give you all the resources and tools you will need going forward in this class. She is by far the most thorough and effective teacher I have ever encountered. Bravo. Still not so sure about research generally, as I was definitely stretched to my limits and then some, but I am walking away from this class with a finished product that I am so proud of. • Very good with communication, responded immediately to emails, gave lengthy and constructive feedback on assignments 	

22 - Suggested improvements for the instructor	
Response Rate	4/17 (23.53%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NA • I don't have any suggestions for the instructor just for the class. I think that the class is too content heavy towards the last three weeks, perhaps cut out some of the lit reviews and start to focus on the last three week assignments earlier. • No suggestions. This was an excellent class led by a great instructor. • none 	

23 - Strengths of the course	
Response Rate	5/17 (29.41%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great materials to assist with learning. • Really gave me a better understanding of how to read and understand research and evidence. It puts into perspective how important research and current evidence is for the nursing profession! • It helped me better understand how research works • I found this class to be very engaging and beneficial. I feel that I am walking away with a lot more knowledge than I began with that will lead me to be successful in my future classes. I really appreciated having the templates and examples for most of the assignments. I found that to be incredibly helpful, especially in regard to APA formatting for papers. • See above 	

24 - Suggested improvements for the course	
Response Rate	4/17 (23.53%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NA • I think that the class is too content heavy towards the last three weeks, perhaps cut out some of the lit reviews and start to focus on the last three week assignments earlier. • The only improvement that I would recommend would be in regards to the group projects. Group projects can be difficult when in person and even more difficult when in an online class setting. I would have preferred to have had a group evaluation for participation at the end of the project due to lack of engagement, participation and effort on some team members behalf. Other than that, this class was excellent and I would highly recommend it to anyone asking. • Maybe slightly less reading. The material is dense, and I did have to cut to part-time status at work to be able to keep up. I also cannot say it is good to pair this course with advanced patho. I found that I didn't have the energy to get all the pathophysiology reading done, and as a practicing bedside nurse, I was very excited for that class 	